

National & Local Performance Indicators - Our children and young people are healthy, safe and successful

Childcare and Early Years Development Service		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-EY51a LKI-EY2a	Number of places in children's centres	new indicator			1,554	n/a	1,796	no comparative data			2,231 (1)	2,503 (1)	3,537 (1)
CP-EY51b LKI-EY2b (Amended)	Number of integrated places in schools	new indicator			2,031	2,577	2,425				Amended (See Below)		
CP-EY52 LKI-EY6 (New)	Percentage of schools offering FFIP (Fully Flexible Integrated Provision)	new indicator									60%	80%	100%
Best Value Indicators													
BV-222a (Formerly BV-192a)	Percentage of leaders of integrated early education and childcare settings funded or part funded by the local authority with the qualification at level 4 or above	new indicator			7%	10%	47% (1)	35%	Top	22%	60% (2)	80% (2)	90% (2)
BV-222b (Formerly BV-192b)	Percentage of leaders of integrated early education and childcare settings funded or part funded by the local authority which have input from staff with graduate or post graduate training in teaching or child development	new indicator			59%	70%	100%	100%	Top	74%	100% (3)	100% (3)	100% (3)

Footnotes:

1. The above targets have been set, based on an additional 8 centres opening before April 2008 and then approximately another 5 opening before April 2009, with the remaining 19 due to open before April 2010, giving a total across the city of 65. An average has been taken from the actual for 2006/07 and used against the potential number of centres that will be opened in 2007/08, 2008/9 and 2009/10. The slippage of the following 5 centres into next year has resulted in 8 rather than 13 opening before April 2008. The centres are: Lower Pudsey, Upper Pudsey, Horsforth, Yeadon and New Wortley.

2. During the analysis and information gathering for this indicator, it has been discovered that the actuals for 2005/06 and the subsequent targets set had been mis-reported. It would appear that in past years, there had been some confusion and misunderstanding on what settings to calculate this indicator on and therefore targets and actuals had been very low compared to the national average. This years actual has been calculated on the leaders with a level 4 qualification or above at Private, Voluntary, Independent and LEA maintained settings who are funded or part funded through the LEA. The calculation in previous years would appear to have only been made against the private sector. Targets have been revised in accordance.

3. Targets are set at 100% as every centre is required to have on site, or support from a qualified teacher.

Social Services for Children & Families		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years			
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	National PAF Optimum Performance Levels			2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	
Council Priority Indicators														
CP-CF51	Ensure 15% of children looked after by the council achieve the equivalent of 5 or more GCSEs at grade A* - C	5%	5%	5%	14%	15%	6% Estimate	no comparative data			15%	15%		
CP-CF52 BV-49 PAF-A1	Stability of Placements of children in care	amended indicator		8.90%	8.60%	13.00%	8.20% Estimate	PAF 5* banding			13.00%	13.00%		
CP-CF53 BV-50 PAF A2	The percentage of young people leaving care aged 16 or over with at least 1 GCSE at grades A*- G or GNVQ	47%	51%	46%	57%	55%	55% Estimate	PAF 4* banding			60%	60%		
CP-CF55 BV-162 PAF-C20	The percentage of children on the register whose cases should have been reviewed that were reviewed	amended indicator		96%	97%	98%	99%	PAF 3* banding			100% (1)	100% (1)	100% (1)	
CP-CF56 BV-163 PAF C23	The number of children looked after for 6 months or more who were adopted during the year as a percentage of children looked after	amended indicator		6.3%	6.8%	4.9%	5.9%	PAF 3* banding			8.0%			
CP-CF57	Number of children coming into care for the first time in the year	new indicator			281	267	387 Estimate	no comparative data			254	241		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	
Best Value Indicators														
BV-197 CYP-BeH12	Change in the number of conceptions to females aged under 18, resident in an area, per thousand females aged 15-17 resident in the area, compared with the baseline year of 1998	new indicator		-5.8%	-18.5%	-7.7%	n/a (1)	-2.9%	-18.2%	Bottom	-4.1%	n/a (1)	n/a (1)	n/a (1)
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	National PAF Optimum Performance Levels			2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	
Local Key Indicators														
LKI - SS1 PAF - A3	The proportion of children registered during the year on the Child Protection Register who had previously been registered	9%	7%	5%	9%	10%	13%	PAF 5* banding			12.5% (2)	12.5% (2)	12.5% (2)	
LKI-SS29 PAF C64	Core assessments for children carried out within 35 days	52%	56%	58%	45%	70%	58%	PAF 2* banding			75%	80%	85%	
LKI-SS30	Number of children looked after (excluding those placed with parents or those on regular respite) per 10,000 child population	new indicator		81	75 (1174)	69	70	88 Estimate	no comparative data			66	67	
LKI-SS32 PAF C63	Percentage of looked after children involved in their own review	new indicator		71%	49%	80%	75% Estimate	PAF 2* banding			85%	90%		
LKI-SS33 PAF D78	Long term stability of children looked after	54%	56%	58%	58%	65%	71% Estimate	no comparative data			68%	70%		
LKI-SS34	Percentage of initial assessments within 7 working days of referral	75%	62%	54%	60%	70%	73%	no comparative data			80%	85%	90%	

Footnotes:

1. The target for this indicator is set to achieve a 22.7% reduction in conceptions to females aged under 18 by the year 2010
2. Targets have been changed from those published last year to ensure performance is consistent with the 5* PAF banding

School Improvement		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	Summer 2002 Result	Summer 2003 Result	Summer 2004 Result	Summer 2005 Result	Summer 2006 Target	Summer 2006 Result	Summer 2006 All England Top Quartile	Summer 2006 Position against All England quartiles	Summer 2006 Core Cities Average	Summer 2007 Target	Summer 2008 Target	Summer 2009 Target
Children and Young People's Plan Priority Indicators													
CYP-BeH6	The proportion of schools that have achieved the National Healthy Schools Standard	new indicator				30%	46%	no comparative data			50%	80%	95%
CYP-BeH7 CP-SI60 LPSA 2	Increase the percentage of pupils who participate in at least 2 hours of PE/sport each week	new indicator			74%	n/a	83%				85%	90%	92%
CYP-EcW1 (LPSA 2)	The percentage of pupils leaving year 11 that are Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET)				8.2%	7.6%	8.2%				7.1%	6.6%	n/a (1)
CYP-EcW2	The percentage of 16-18 year olds that are Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET)				10.2%	8.8%	8.8%				8.4%	8.0%	7.6%
CYP-EcW5	The proportion of 19 year olds with Level 2 qualifications				62%	63%	63%				64%	67%	n/a (1)
CYP-EcW6	The proportion of 19 year olds with Level 3 qualifications				41%	43%	41%				45%	47%	n/a (1)
CYP-EnA6	The proportion of schools that scored 2 or better by OfSTED for overall effectiveness	new indicator				n/a	59%				63%	66%	70%
CYP-EnA11b	Proportion of pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A* to C or equivalent including Maths and English	new indicator			39%	44%	40%				46%(2)	48% (2)	49%
CYP-EnA11c	Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving 5 or more GCSEs at Grade A* to G or equivalent				87%	89%	87%				88% (2)	93% (2)	94%
CYP-EnA11d	Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving any qualification at the end of Key Stage 4				95%	96%	96%				97%	97%	99%
Best Value Indicators													
BV-38 CYP-EnA13 LAA-CYP4	Proportion of 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the authority in the previous summer achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A* to C or equivalent	42.0%	44.4%	45.3%	49.7%	57.7%	52.2%	58.3%	Middle	47.1%	56.5% (2)	59.5% (2)	60.0%
BV-39 CYP-EnA14 LAA-CYP3 (LPSA 1)	Proportion of 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving 5 or more GCSEs at Grade A* to G or equivalent including English & Maths	83.4%	83.0%	83.2%	84.9%	89.0%	84.5%	90.8%	Bottom	81.8%	89.0% (2)	91.0% (2)	91.0%

Footnotes:

1. Targets for 2009/2010 will be set by the 14 - 19 Strategic Partnership

2. Targets have been changed from those published last year using contextual analysis from the Fischer Family Trust.

School Improvement (continued)		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	Summer 2002 Result	Summer 2003 Result	Summer 2004 Result	Summer 2005 Result	Summer 2006 Target	Summer 2006 Result	Summer 2006 All England Top Quartile	Summer 2006 Position against All England quartiles	Summer 2006 Core Cities Average	Summer 2007 Target	Summer 2008 Target	Summer 2009 Target
Best Value Indicators (continued)													
BV-40 CYP-EnA15	Proportion of pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 4 or above in the key stage 2 Mathematics test	74.0%	74.7%	75.0%	76.0%	81.0%	76.0%	77.4%	Middle	70.1%	80.0%	82.0%	80.0% (3)
BV-41 CYP-EnA16	Proportion of pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 4 or above in the key stage 2 English test	74.0%	76.0%	78.0%	79.0%	83.0%	79.0%	81.2%	Middle	72.8%	79.0%	84.0%	82.0% (3)
BV - 181 CYP-EnA17 - 20 (LPSA 2)	The percentage of 14-year old pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 tests in:												
	a) English	65.00%	67.00%	66.00%	70.00%	72.00%	70.00%	77.00%	Middle	63.38%	74.00%	79.00%	80.00%
	b) Mathematics	64.00%	67.00%	70.00%	70.00%	74.00%	75.00%	77.00%	Middle	63.82%	74.00%	78.00%	80.00%
	c) Science	63.10%	64.00%	62.00%	65.00%	71.00%	69.00%	74.00%	Middle	58.24%	72.00%	75.00%	76.00%
	d) ICT	new indicator	64.00%	61.00%	65.00%	71.00%	68.00%	73.83%	Middle	59.57%	72.00%	74.00%	76.00%
BV-194a CYP-EnA21	Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving level 5 or above in Key stage 2 : English	new indicator	27%	27%	26%	30%	32%	29%	Top	21%	33% (3)	34% (3)	35%
BV-194b CYP-EnA22	Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving level 5 or above in Key stage 2 : Maths	new indicator	30%	30%	31%	30%	33%	32%	Top	26%	34% (3)	35% (3)	35%
NRF Floor Targets LKI-NR2	Number of schools in disadvantaged communities with less than 20% of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grade A* to C	8 Schools	5 schools	3 schools	1 school(11)	0 schools	n/a	no comparative data			amended indicator		
NRF Floor Targets LKI-NR2	Number of schools in disadvantaged communities with less than 30% of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grade A* to C	new indicator					5 Schools	no comparative data			3 schools (4)	0 schools	0 Schools

Footnotes:

3. Targets have been changed from those published in last year. The new targets have been set using the following methodology. 1) Targets will match existing SALTS targets. 2) If SALTS targets are unavailable, targets are set to meet the average or top quartile as defined by the FFT SE estimate model. 3) If the information for (1) and (2) is unavailable targets are set to show a year on year improvement.

4. The targets and definition have been changed from those published last year to reflect the floor targets set by the DfES.

Access to Education & Strategic Management		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years			
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	
Children and Young People's Plan Priority Indicators														
CYP-StS11	The proportion of children and young people that report they have been affected by bullying, harassment or discrimination at school or in the community due to their ethnicity	new indicator					n/a	n/a (1)	no comparative data			year on year reduction	year on year reduction	year on year reduction
CYP-PoC3	The proportion of children and young people that report they have been bullied in the last 12 months	new indicator					n/a	n/a (1)	no comparative data			year on year reduction	year on year reduction	year on year reduction

Footnotes:

1. The results for these indicators were unavailable at the time of printing and will be reported in the addendum, which will be published in September.

SEN & School Attendance		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Children and Young People's Plan Priority Indicators													
CYP-PoC5 LAA-CYP7	The number of fixed term exclusions from schools maintained by the Local Education Authority per 1,000 pupils	new indicator			68	57	68	no comparative data			39	25	25
CYP-PoC6 LAA-CYP6	The number of permanent term exclusions from schools maintained by the Local Education Authority	new indicator			120	100	84	no comparative data			70	40	40
Best Value Indicators													
BV-43a CYP-BeH17	Percentage of statements of Special Educational Need prepared within 18 weeks excluding those affected by "exceptions to the rule" under the SEN Code of Practice	65.0%	79.2%	96.2%	96.3%	97.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Top	90.2%	97.0%	97.0%	100.0%
BV-43b CYP-BeH18	Percentage of statements of Special Educational Need prepared within 18 weeks including those affected by "exceptions to the rule" under the SEN Code of Practice	36.0%	48.0%	78.6%	78.2%	83.9%	88.4%	95.4%	Middle	79.3%	83.9%	83.9%	90.0%
BV-45 CYP-PoC8 LAA-CYP8	Percentage of half days missed due to total absence in secondary schools maintained by the Local Education Authority	9.90%	9.40%	9.00%	8.70%	8.10%	9.20%	7.26%	Bottom	9.51%	7.80%	7.70%	7.50%
BV-46 CYP-PoC9	Percentage of half days missed due to total absence in primary schools maintained by the Authority	6.00%	5.86%	5.50%	5.40%	4.90%	5.70%	5.13%	Middle	6.01%	4.70%	4.60%	4.50%

Community Safety		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-CS57	Reduce the number of young people who have a fear of crime	new indicator			n/a	n/a	n/a (1)	no comparative data			year on year reduction	year on year reduction	year on year reduction
CP-CS58 CYP-PoC7 LAA-SSC10	A complete count of the number of first time entrants into the youth justice system receiving a substantive outcome between 1 April and 31 March in the reporting year specified.	new indicator			1,940	reduce year on year	1875	no comparative data			reduce year on year	1877	n/a

Footnotes:

1. The result for this indicator was unavailable at the time of production and will be reported in the addendum, which will be published in September 2007.

Sport		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-CSP52 (Amended)	Increase the take up of cultural and sporting opportunities amongst 5-19 year olds												
	a. Libraries, museums and galleries, arts and events				143,723 (1)	148,600	227,429 (1)				To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed
	b. Sport and active recreation				854,400 (1)	800,000	1,031,050 (1)			no comparative data	1,000,000 (2)	720,000 (2)	750,000 (2)
	c. Parks and countryside				7,376,002 (1)	n/a	11,593,361 (1)				n/a	n/a	11,600,00 (3)

Footnotes:

1. Performance in 2006/07 is higher than the targets set and the end of year result for 2005/06. This is due to the definition changing to include 5-19 year olds. The expansion from 5-16 to 5-19 year olds, has meant more young people have been included in the 2006/07 result.
2. The target for 2007/08 has been revised due to increased performance during 2006/07. Targets for 2008/09 and 2009/10 have been set lower than the 2007/08 target due to disruptions to the service which may be caused by the Private Finance Initiative (PFI) project.
3. The Parks & Countryside service has continued to make substantial investment in parks and green spaces through the Parks Urban Renaissance Programme. This has improved the quality of parks and facilities and led to an increase in visits. The survey will be conducted again in 2009/10 and the service will seek to maintain the same level of performance, whilst an increasing the level of service it offers. This will be evidenced through performance indicators within the service.

Road Safety		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-TM53 BV-99	Road accident casualties:												
	b (i) Number of children (under 16) killed or seriously injured (KSI)	62	55	57	51	56	39	11	Bottom	46	52	48	44
	b (ii) % change in number of children (under 16) KSI since previous year		-11.3%	3.6%	-10.5%	-9.8%	-23.5%	-26.5%	Middle	-4.6%	-7.1%	-7.7%	-8.4%
	b (iii) % change in the number of children (under 16) KSI since the 1994-98 average		-39.6%	-37.4%	-44.0%	-38.5%	-57.1%	-55.7%	Upper	-35.2%	-42.9%	-47.3%	-51.7%

Youth Service		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-Y50 LKI-YS1	The level of reach into the resident 13-19 population (against a benchmark of 25%)			19%	23%	23%	47%				25% (1)	25% (1)	30% (1)
Best Value Indicators													
BV-221a	The percentage of young people aged 13 to 19 gaining a recorded outcome compared to the percentage of young people in the local authority area (benchmark 60% of participants)				29%	51%	n/a (2)	59%	n/a (2)	47%	54%	60%	n/a (2)
BV-221b	Percentage of young people aged 13 - 19 gaining an accredited outcome compared to the percentage of young people in the local authority area (benchmark 30% of participants)				24.1%	24%	22%	25%	Middle	16%	30%	33%	36%
Local Key Indicators													
LKI-YS2	The level of participation of the resident 13-19 population in youth work (against a benchmark of 15%)				16%	15%	25%				15%	20%	25%

Footnotes:

1. Targets have been based on national benchmarks and the capacity of the service.
2. The result for this indicator is being checked, to ensure the data is correct. The result will be reported in the addendum, which is published in September, with the All England comparison and 2009/10 target.